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Sizewell C Stage 1: SOCC

- Published 8 November 2012
- Explained the planning process
- Outlined consultation activity
- Changes to timing and the area of consultation activity in response to feedback from the local authorities
- 21 November 2012 – 6 February 2013
- Stage 1 and future stages of consultation will be assessed against the SOCC
The Scope of Stage 1 Consultation

Our Aim: To undertake the first formal consultation on our initial proposals

Asked for comments on:
• Our overall proposals for the Sizewell C nuclear power station;
• Options for associated development needed to support the construction and/or operation of the power station; and
• The potential effects on the local community and environment, both positive and negative.
Sizewell C: Stage 1 Activity

- **Over 4,000 local people** directly engaged
- **Over 100 events**, including meetings, exhibitions, drop-in sessions, media engagement and council presentations
- **25 presentations** to parish and district councils and residents associations
- Meetings with members and officers of local authorities
- Engaged with key business organisations in Suffolk
- Working with groups representing disabled people, the elderly, ethnic minorities and young people
- Generated **over 120 press articles** and media broadcasts
Sizewell C Stage 1: Response

- Includes 49 responses from parish and town councils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online Questionnaire</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper Questionnaire</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email or Letter</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1298</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thank you!
Sizewell C Stage 1: Analysis Process

- Initial consultation one part of the decision making process
- A qualitative focus, emphasising issues raised
- Reporting back – a non-interpretative approach
- Ongoing analysis
Overall proposals for Sizewell C

- Support from nearly two thirds
- Support often comes with caveats

- Only those people who have chosen to respond are represented – therefore not appropriate to view the data as representative of the population

- Represents the number of responses that have expressed clear support or opposition

- Reflects all consultation response types – public and organisations
General Comments – Nuclear

- Role of nuclear in energy mix
- Waste
- Safety
- Emergency Planning
Q1/2: Development site – temporary and permanent
Q3: Visitor centre sites
Option 1: Lover’s Lane – key themes

- Impact on undeveloped land:
  - Less impact on beach
  - Environmental impact

- Community impact:
  - Less impact on community
  - Quality of life

- Location/access:
  - Good access from existing roads
  - Too far from site

- Transport impact:
  - Traffic & safety on Lovers Lane
Option 2: Sizewell Beach – key themes

- Impact on landscape
- Environment
- Too close to the beach
- Location and access
  - Attracts more visitors
  - Close to the site
- Community impact
- Impact on tourism
- Traffic increase
- Transport
- Amenity use by residents
Option 3: Goose Hill – key themes

- Impact on designated areas
- Impact on wildlife
- Least impact on traffic
- Least impact communities
- Close to amenities
- Close to car park
- Close to the site
- Environment
- Location and access
- Community impact
- Transport
Accommodation Strategy

- Only those people who have chosen to respond are represented – therefore not appropriate to view the data as representative of the population

- Represents the number of responses that have expressed clear support or opposition

- Reflects all consultation response types – public and organisations

- Over three quarters support the strategy
Q5: Accommodation campus options
Option 1: Development Site Campus - key themes

- Impact on community
- Environment
- Transport
  - Worker travel
  - Leisure travel
  - Impact on B1122
- Further from Leiston
- Impact on Eastbridge and Theberton
- Less impact on designated areas
- Impact on landscape
Option 2: Sizewell Gap Campus – key themes

- Impact on community
- Transport
- Environment
- Impact on designated areas
- Impact on landscape
- Other environmental concerns
- Less impact
Option 3: Leiston East Campus – key themes

- Legacy value
- Impact on community
- Environment
- Transport
- Worker travel: less impact
- Worker travel: more impact

Option 3: Leiston East Campus
Transport Strategy

- Only those people who have chosen to respond are represented – therefore not appropriate to view the data as representative of the population.
- Represents the number of responses that have expressed clear support or opposition.
- Reflects all consultation response types – public and organisations.

- Approximately two thirds support the strategy.
Northern Park & Ride options
Option 1: Yoxford Road – key themes

- Traffic
- Location
- Safety
- Emergency access
- Impact on landscape
- Greenfield and agricultural land
- Impact on cultural heritage
- Transport Impact
- Environment
- Support with caveats
- Impact on community
Option 2: Darsham – key themes

- Access and location
- Safety
- Encourages interchange with rail

Option 2: Darsham

- Impact on environment
- Support with caveats
- Impact on local community
- Impact on business
- Legacy

Transport Impact
Option 3: A12/A144 – key themes

- Transport impact
- Impact on environment and local community
- Support with caveats
- Access and location
- Local community
- Environment
Southern Park & Ride sites
Option 1: Wickham Market – key themes

- Environment
- Legacy value
- Local community

Impact on environment and local community

Option 1: Wickham Market

Advantages

Transport impact

Support with caveats

Disadvantages

Safety
Option 2: Woodbridge – key themes

- Impact on environment
- Impact on local community
- Transport impact
- Support with caveats
- Traffic
- Location
- Residential areas
- Businesses
Option 3: Potash Corner – key themes

- Safety
- Traffic and location
- Transport impact
- Impact on local community
- Support with caveats
- Impact on environment
Lorry Park sites – Stand Alone
Option 1 & 2: Orwell West/East – key themes

Management control

Potential for legacy value

Transport improvements and concerns

Good location, easy access

Support with caveats

Existing use and legacy value

Makes sense due to existing use

Similarities and differences between options 1 and 2

Options 1 and 2: Orwell West and Orwell East

Location and access

Community and environment

Impacts

Lower than other options

Prefer option 1

Prefer option 2
Option 3: A12/A144 Seven Hills Junction – key themes

- Legacy value
  - Conflict with stacking operations
  - Use for stacking operations
- Location and access
  - Proximity to crematorium
  - Busy junction, poor accessibility
  - Quieter road and better overall location
- Community and environment
  - Support with caveats
  - Less community impact
  - Impacts
- Option 3: A12/A144 Seven Hills Junction
Q11: Road improvements - Farnham
Option 1: Farnham bypass – key themes

- Efficacy of the option
- Alternative routes
- Environment
- Impact on habitat
- 4 village bypass
Option 2: Road widening – key themes

- Will not solve the problem
- Impact on cultural heritage
- Quality of life and living environment
- Efficacy of the option
- Environment and community impact
- Legacy

Option 2: Road widening
Option 3: HGV controls – key themes

- Efficacy of the option
  - Will exacerbate the problem

Option 3: HGV Controls

Environment and community impact

Pollution
Q12: Local Road Network

Five main themes:
- Increased Traffic Levels
- Freight traffic routing and control
- Suitability of road network
- Accuracy of traffic assessment
- Alternative suggestions
Q13: Rail options
Q13: Rail strategy – key themes

- Legacy value
- Impact on transport
- Impact on rail services
- Impact on roads
- Environmental impacts

Question 13: Rail improvement options

Short and long term impacts
Q14: Rail Routes
Red route – key themes

- Leiston
- Impact on community
- Shorter, therefore less impact
- Environment
- Legacy
- Other considerations
- Cost

Option 1: Red route
Green route – key themes

Does not affect Leiston

Impact on community

Impact on businesses

Environment

Option: Green route

Legacy
Blue route – key themes

Option 3: Blue route

- Impact on community
- Environment
- Transport
- Legacy value
- Design

Interaction with accommodation campus option 1
Reaching the development site

Longest route
Impact on specific buildings
Q15: People and the Economy

- Community/People & Economy issues raised in association with the proposals
- Support for benefits created including:
  - Job creation
  - Education and training
  - Supply chain development
- Some concerns raised include:
  - Construction workers
  - Tourism
- Suggestions received regarding S106/Community Benefit.
Q16: Consultation
What next?

• Ongoing analysis of responses
• Technical studies
• Newsletter
• Community forum meetings in 2013
• Further consultation
• Report on Consultation to be submitted with DCO
thank you